Converting Functions to Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

Kathi Fisler, WPI (edited by C. Rich)

October 8, 2015

We've seen that in order to write programs that behave properly as scripts, we need to move code around so that scripts start the next part of the computation before they terminate (or, put another way, so that no code depends upon a value returned from a script). The last set of notes did this intuitively. This set of notes shows you a step-by-step method for converting non-web programs to web programs.

1 Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

A call to a script function is in *continuation-passing style* (CPS) if the return value of the call is the return value of the expression or function that contains it. This is another way of saying that no additional computation in the program relies on the value of the call. CPS is easiest to understand through examples. Assume we have a function called *request-num*:

- 1. In CPS because the result of the call is the result of the whole expression (assuming this is the whole program). (request-num "Enter a num")
- 2. Not in CPS because the + uses the return value

```
(+ (request-num "Enter a num") 5)
```

3. In CPS because the value of request-num is the value of the function that contains it.

```
(define (req reqstr)
(request-num reqstr))
```

4. In CPS because the cond is the whole expression and the answer of a cond clause is what the cond returns.

```
 \begin{array}{c} (\textbf{cond} \; [(=5 \; 4) \; (\textit{request-num} \; "\text{Enter a num"})] \\ [\textbf{else} \; 7]) \end{array}
```

5. Not in CPS because the + uses the return value of the cond.

```
(+ (cond [(= 5 4) (request-num "Enter a num")] [else 7])
10)
```

6. Not in CPS because the = needs the value of the *request-num*. The question position of a **cond** is never in CPS.

```
(cond [(= 5 (request-num "Enter a num")) 9] [else 7])
```

2 Moving Script Invocations to CPS: Examples

To transform non-web functions to scripts that are safe to run on the web, we need to first locate calls to script that are not in CPS and move them into CPS, adjusting the rest of the code accordingly. Before we write out the steps for

doing this, let's look at an example. Recall the age-request program from the previous set of notes. Here's a similar version but using a more general script function to prompt for numbers:

The call to *request-num* is not in CPS in *age-page-nonweb*, so that call will need to move if *request-age-page* is to be usable as a script. Where can we move it? That call has to happen before the rest of the code executes, so let's rip it out and move it to the front of the *age-page-nonweb* function, leaving a ??? marker to show where we ripped it out:

```
;; age-page-nonweb: → void

;; displays ability to vote based on user's age

(define (age-page-nonweb)

(request-num "Enter your age: ")

(local ((define age ???))

(cond [(>= age 18) (printf "Don't forget to vote!")]

[else (printf "You'll be able to vote in ~a years" (− 18 age))])))
```

Note that this isn't valid Scheme code – we have two expressions after the **define** rather than one, and we have the ??? where we yanked out the old code. We can fix the ??? by turning it into a proper variable name and wrapping the code that uses that name in a **lambda**. We call the variable name *hole* since it fills a hole in the original code (where we ripped the old code out).

What is this **lambda**? This is the code that is left to run after we run *request-num* – the same code that we put into the *submit* function in the previous lecture. Recall that in that lecture the page that prompted for the number passed the value it read to *submit*. Here, we want to do the same thing – we send the **lambda** (equivalent to the old *submit* to *request-num*, and *request-num* passes its result to the lambda, thus plugging the "hole" with the value of the expression that we pulled out:

We call the new parameter to *request-num action* to parallel the use of the "action" keyword in HTML forms (in an HTML form, the action variable holds the name of the script to call next. We're giving a lambda instead of the name of a function for now, but that difference is minor).

Running this version of the age program produces the same behavior as the original, but now everything can run as a script (meaning that it would work on the web). Notice the new contract on *request-num* – rather than return a number, it now takes a function that takes a number (its old return value) and returns the same value as the *age-page-web* program that calls it.

3 Moving Script Invocations to CPS: Algorithm

To convert a program written with **define** to one that will work with **define-script**, follow these steps on **every** function *foo* that should work with **define-script**. (Skip steps 1 and 2 if you are not converting *foo* itself to script.)

- 1. Add a "continuation" parameter to the definition of *foo* called *action*. (I usually rename *foo* to *foo/cps*, to avoid name clashes and keep track of which script calls I have moved.)
- 2. Wherever the definition of *foo* returns an answer, pass that answer to *action*. If the body of *foo* is a **cond**, call *action* on each answer in the **cond**. (**NB**: Make sure you perform this step **before** moving any script calls in the definition as below.)
- 3. Find the non-CPS script call in the definition of *foo* that would happen first and move it to the front of the expression (or enclosing **lambda** never move a call outside of a **lambda**!).
- 4. Replace the expression you removed with a new variable name, e.g., *hole*. (Use *hole1*, *hole2*, etc., or any other unique new name if *hole* is already used somewhere in the function definition.)
- 5. Wrap a (lambda (hole)...) around the original expression (minus the part you pulled out), and pass this lambda expression as the argument to the script call you moved to the front in Step 3 (this will become the value for its action argument).
- 6. Repeat from step 3 until all script calls in *foo* are in CPS.

Remember, the final program should behave the same way as the original program, so your transformation should not change the order in which function calls or conditionals get evaluated.