CS 525M – Mobile and Ubiquitous Computing Seminar

A Survey on Sensor Networks

presented by Jeffrey R. Bacon

Background Info

- Published in 2002
- At the Georgia Institute of Technology
- Authors:
 - Ian F. Akyildiz
 - Weilian Su
 - Yogesh Sankarasubramaniam
 - Erdal Cayirci

What's a sensor network?

- Large number of sensor nodes
 - Multifunction sensors
 - Low-cost
 - Low-power
 - Tiny
 - Mobile

Uses

- Military
 - Command
 - Control
 - Communications
 - Intelligence
 - Surveillance
 - Reconnaissance
 - Targeting
- Health
 - Monitor patients
 - Assist disabled patients
- Commercial
 - Manage inventory
 - Monitor product quality
 - Monitor disaster/dangerous areas

How is this different?

- Not a traditional ad-hoc network
 - Number of nodes can be orders of magnitude higher
 - Sensors densely deployed
 - Sensor nodes prone to failure
 - Topology changes frequently
 - Broadcast paradigm (not point-to-point)
 - Limited power, computing, memory
 - No global identification

Network Parts

- Sensor Field: The area that the sensors can examine
- Sensor Nodes: The sensors & other physical components
- Sink: A local workstation that gathers data and manages the network
- The Internet/Satellite: Sends data gathered by sink to other locations
- Task Manager/User: End user controlling/receiving data



Network Diagram



Network Diagram



Network Diagram





Typical Sensor Node

Always present components



Typical Sensor Node



Issues to Consider

- Fault Tolerance (Fragile devices in rough terrain will break often)
- Scalability (Hundreds or thousands of nodes)
- Production Costs (Bluetooth is 10x the target cost)
- Topology (Up to 20 nodes per cubic meter)
- Deployment
 (Thrown or even lower)
 - (Thrown or even launched from missles)
- Environment

(Inside machinery, biohazards, behind enemy lines)

Transmission Media

(Radio has interference, infrared and optical require line of sight)

Power Consumption

(Less than 0.5A, 1.2V, power can cut out and change the network)

Protocol Stack



Protocol Stack



Physical Layer Issues

- 915 MHz Industrial/Scientific/Medical band
- Power Consumption
 - Most important Issue
 - Communication is very expensive
 - Sometimes can be replenished
 - Solar or other means

Open Research

- Need better power modulation schemes
- Need to overcome signal propagation effects
- The usual hardware issues:
 - Smaller!
 - Faster!
 - Cheaper!

Protocol Stack



Medium Access Control

- Existing MAC protocols inadequate
 - Very large number of nodes
 - Transmission power is very low
 - Topology changes frequent
 - Power consumption requirements
- MAC for Sensor Networks
 - Power saving modes required
 - Timeouts preferred to acknowledgements

SMACS

- SMACS: "Self-Organizing MAC for Sensor Networks"
 - Link Layer organization
 - Discover neighbors automatically
 - Establishes schedules without global master node
 - How it works:
 - Random fixed time slots
 - Takes advantage of low bandwidth requirements
 - Turns off radio transmitter when not in use

EAR Algorithm

- EAR: Eavesdrop and Register algorithm
 - Works with SMACS
 - Establishes seamless connection
 - Nodes control own connections
 - Drops when necessary
 - Saves messaging overhead

CSMA-Based Mac

- CSMA: Carrier Sense Multiple Access
 - Listening mechanism and backoff scheme
 - Energy-efficient "listen periods"
 - Random delays help prevent collisions
 - ARC: Adaptive Transmission Rate Controls
 - Balances rates of originating and route-through traffic
 - Makes all nodes favored roughly equally

Hybrid TDMA/FDMA

- Centrally controlled
 - Requires nearby high power base station
- Combines:
 - TDMA
 - Time Division Multiple Access
 - All bandwidth to one sensor at a time
 - FDMA
- Frequency Division Multiple Access
 Minimal bandwidth to average
- Hybrid method:
 - Finds optimal division
 - Divides channels AND frequencies up







Power Saving at Link Level

- Simple off/on can cost *more* energy
 - Short, frequent packets mean many starts and stops
 - Startup energy could cost more

Error Control

- Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ)
 - Bad! Too much energy!
- More power to signals
 - Bad! Too much energy!
- Forward Error Correction (FEC)
 - Good! ... but inefficient and costly to microprocessor.
 - Built in FEC chips recommended

Open Research

- Better MAC protocols needed
- Research on energy lower bound
- Error control coding research
- Power-saving research

Protocol Stack



- Maximum Power Available (PA)
- Minimum Energy (ME)
- Minimum Hop (MH)
- Maximum Minimum Power Available
- Data-Centric

Maximum Power Available Route



• Minimum Energy Route



• Minimum Hop Route



 Maximum Minimum Power Available Node Route



Data Aggregation

- Aggregate data at sensor level
 - Requires more processor power
 - Requires less transmission power



Attribute-Based Naming

- Only get data from specific nodes
- Don't send data from other nodes to save power
- Attribute-value pairs requested by name

Overview of methods

- Small Minimum Energy Communication Network
 - Create network subgraphs that connect all nodes but using the least energy
- Flooding
 - Saves overhead of topology change and route discovery
 - High energy, data implosion
- Gossiping
 - Like flooding, but sends to a random neighbor
 - No data implosion, but slow

SPIN

- Sensor Protocols for Information via Negotiation
- Get requested data only
- ADV (descriptor), REQ (request), DATA



Overview of methods, cont.

- Sequential Assignment Routing (SAR)
 - Create multiple trees
 - Root of each tree is one-hop neighbor of the sink
 - Avoids nodes with low quality of service
- Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Heirarchy (LEACH)
 - Randomly select nodes as "clusterheads" that send to the sink
 - Clusterheads inform other nodes
 - Other nodes determine their own cluster
 - Change clusterheads on a regular basis





SINK

Overview of methods, cont.

- Directed Diffusion
 - Base station sends out *interest* to nearest nodes
 - Nodes propogate message outwards
 - Directed graph formed



Protocol Stack



Transport Layer

- Hasn't really been addressed by anyone yet!
- TCP/UDP don't address some concerns:
 - Power consumption
 - Lack of global addressing
 - Attribute-based naming
- Likely answer:
 - TCP or UDP between sink and end user
 - UDP-like protocol between sink and sensor nodes

Protocol Stack



Application Layer Overview

- Sensor Management Protocol
 - Makes lower levels transparent
 - Handles:
 - Data aggregation
 - Attribute based naming
 - Clustering
 - Location finding
 - Time Synchonization
 - Mobilization
 - Turning nodes off and on
 - Getting status
 - Reconfiguring
 - Authentication
 - Key Distribution
 - Security

Application Layer Overview

- Task Assignment and Data Advertisement
 Protocol (TADAP)
 - Send out interest
 - Nodes only return data that user is interested in

Query Languages

- Sensor Query and Data Dissemination Protocol
 - Like SQL for sensor networks
 - "Get the locations of the nodes that sense temperature higher than 70 degrees"
- Sensor Query And Tasking Language
 - A larger query project that includes things SQDDP does not
 - Only in the proposal stage

Conclusion

- We're not there yet!
- Main issues that need work:
 - Fault tolerance
 - Scalability
 - Cost
 - Hardware
 - Topology Changes
 - Environment
 - Power Consumption

Questions?