

Guidelines for Research Paper Evaluation

The following points should be addressed in writing your critiques.

1. **Summary:** Give a summary of the contents of the paper in your words. Do not just cut and paste or take excerpts of the abstract or introduction. The summary should be at most half a page long
2. **Research contribution:** Most papers or sections of the book are either proposing an algorithm/technique/idea or surveying a section of a field. Do you think this paper gives any significant contributions that would make it widely referenced? Take into account the date the paper was published, how knowledgeable the author(s) is/are and how groundbreaking the paper was when it was published. If you think there's a main major contribution, what is it? If no, explain/justify your answer. Note that even well-written surveys/sections of books can be viewed as significant contributions.
3. **Supporting evidence/evaluation of results:** Did the authors justify their results enough to convince a reasonable referee?
4. **Writing:** How was the quality of the writing? Are there things you would change in the writing, style, grammar or organization? What are they?
5. **Referee's comments:** What are the 3 things you like most about the paper? What are the 3 things you did NOT like about this paper?