



WPI

Play Testing

Due: Thursday August 1st

Introduction

- Last and final in a series of related projects
 - Goal is to test and evaluate prototype
- Focuses on
 - Testing game for bugs, errors
 - Critiquing game for game play

Motivation

- Many of us have played the game ...
 - that crashed every five minutes (bugs)
 - with the impossible level (level design)
 - with obvious dominant strategy (game play)
 - with painfully clunky interface (HCI)
 - Testing can reveal many of these!
 - But many times there are more issues than time to correct
 - Must prioritize what to fix based on factors
 - Most important to fix
 - Easiest to fix
 - Testing, balancing, and prioritizing
-

Overview

- Work *solo*
- Evaluate and test another group's prototype
- Document the fixes that you recommend
 - Prioritizing based on importance

Details (1 of 2)

- Randomly assigned project from another group (Pokemon cards)
- Given Ren'Py project and treatment (proj2)
- Compare final prototype to treatment
- Play the game!
 - Thoroughly, methodically, for fun and mistakes
- Note:
 - Understanding prototype is only a demo
 - Be forthright, no bearing on their grade
 - Qualitative assessment, as well as positive feedback, is also encouraged.

Details (2 of 2)

- Create *Fix List*, document as many fixes (bugs, design flaws, suggestions on play) as you find
- For each fix
 - Descriptive title (boss too hard, crash in options ...)
 - Categorize as either:
 - CODE (if a programming mistake)
 - ART (if a fix to the art is needed)
 - GAMEPLAY (if a change to the game/rules/level)
 - OTHER
 - Priority of HIGH, MEDIUM, or LOW
 - Should be relative to other bugs
 - Clear which to fix first
 - Short description of the bug (sentence or two)

Submission

- ❑ Create document (.doc or .xls or ...)
- ❑ Include your name and email
- ❑ Name of game tested and who made it
- ❑ Email to me (gogo@wpi.edu)
- ❑ Subject “Project 4”