

## Introduction

- Fifth and final in a series of related projects
- Goal is to test and evaluate prototype
- Focuses on
- Testing game for bugs, errors
- Critiquing game for game play


## Motivation

- Many of us have played the game
- that crashed every five minutes (bugs)
- with the impossible level (level design)
- with obvious dominant strategy (game play)
- with painfully clunky interface (HCI)
- Testing can reveal. But many times more issues than time
- Must prioritize what to fix based on factors
- Most important to fix
- Easiest to fix
- Testing, balancing, and prioritizing


## Overview

- Work in same group
- Evaluate and test another group's prototype
- First, judging how well met design goals as described in their original documentation
- Second, you will be documenting the bugs that you find in the prototype
- Prioritizing based on importance
- (Note, may be useful for quick fix before pitch)


## Details (1 of 2)

- Randomly assigned other group
- Different than one in proj 1
- Given project (.gm6) and treatment (proj1)
- Compare final prototype to treatment
- Write short statement (200 to 500 words) on how well prototype exhibits the potential of the design goals in the treatment
- Understanding prototype is only a demo
- Be forthright, no bearing on their grade
- Qualitative assessment, as well as positive feedback, is also encouraged.


## Details (2 of 2 )

- Bug list, document as many bugs as you find
- For each bug
- Give it a descriptive title (ex: walk on water, crash in options ...)
- Categorize it as either GAME LOGIC, UI, or ART
- Priority of HIGH, MEDIUM, or LOW
- Should be relative to other bugs
- Clear which to fix first
- Short description of the bug (sentence or two)
- Steps to reliably reproduce the bug


## Grading Guidelines

| Criteria | $\underline{\text { Weight }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Evaluation | $50 \%$ |
| Bug List | $50 \%$ |

- Estimate 8 hours/group member
- (Again, about $1 / 2$ other projects)


