

CS2135: Recursive Macros

Kathi Fisler, WPI

February 5, 2003

1 Multi-Argument Or

We talked about how to define `or` as a macro, and why it needed to be defined as a macro instead of as a function. The question arose about how to define an `or` macro that accepts arbitrary numbers of arguments. Scheme `or` can take any number of arguments. Here are some examples:

```
> (or (= 3 2))
false
```

```
> (or (= 3 4) (> 6 9) (< 2 7))
true
```

Try writing a macro for `or` that takes an arbitrary number of arguments. Hint: think of the multiple-case `timecond` macro from the previous set of notes. Also think about recursion.

```
(define-syntax myor
  (syntax-rules ()
    [(myor e1) e1]
    [(myor e1 e2 ...)
     (cond [e1 true]
           [else (myor e2 ...)])]))
```

Here's the macro. What do the two patterns do? The first is like the base case in the recursion – it gives a concrete answer on a specific number of arguments. The second is the recursive case. As in the recursions we've written before, we reduce the number of arguments on the recursive call.

2 Map

Since we've written a recursive macro for `or`, why don't we write one for `map`? How about this?

```
(define-syntax mymap
  (syntax-rules ()
    [(mymap func lst)
     (cond [(empty? lst) empty]
           [(cons? lst)
            (cons (func (first lst))
                  (mymap func (rest lst)))])))]))
```

If we test this macro, we find we go into an infinite loop. Why? Recursion worked for `or`, so why doesn't it work for `map`?

There's a big difference between the two macros. With `myor`, notice that the recursion has a base case *as one of the patterns in the macro*. In `mymap`, there's only one case. Macro-expansion works by replacing every use of a macro with its output pattern until no more uses of macros remain. Furthermore, since macro-expansion takes place without evaluating expressions (the whole point of having them!), there's no way to hit a base case within the expanded code. In other words, macro-expansion of a call to `mymap` proceeds in several steps, ad infinitum:

```

(mymap square (list 1 2 3))

= (cond [(empty? (list 1 2 3)) empty]
        [(cons? (list 1 2 3))
         (cons (square (first (list 1 2 3)))
               (mymap square (rest (list 1 2 3))))])

= (cond [(empty? (list 1 2 3)) empty]
        [(cons? (list 1 2 3))
         (cons (square (first (list 1 2 3)))
               (cond [(empty? (rest (list 1 2 3))) empty]
                     [(cons? (rest (list 1 2 3)))
                      (cons (square (first (rest (list 1 2 3))))
                            (mymap square (rest (rest (list 1 2 3))))))]))])

= ...

```

Without evaluating the lists, there's no way to stop the expansion, hence the infinite loop.

3 Summary

Macros can be recursive, but the base case must be one of the (multiple) patterns, not buried *within* one of the output patterns. This is necessary for macro expansion to terminate.