"Tracking the Evolution of Web Traffic: 1995-2003

Felix Hernandez-Campos, Kevin Jeffay, F. Donelson Smith

IEE/ACM International Symposium on Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems (MASCOTS)

Orlando, FL, October 2003

1

Outline

- Introduction
- Related Work
- Data Sets Collected at UNC.
- Analysis of UNC Data Sets
- Comparison with Mah, Barford and Crovella Studies
- Sampling Issues
- Conclusions



Introduction

- Web traffic has been the dominant traffic type on the Internet since mid-1990s.
- The Web (implying HTTP and HTML) is the de facto user-interface for many distributed applications.
- Goal:: To discover and document the evolving nature and structure of Web traffic.



Introduction

What the authors did:

- Analyzed 1 terabyte of TCP/IP header traces collected in 1999, 2001 and 2003 at UNC at Chapel Hill.
- Compared results to similar measurements made from 1995 to 1998.



Introduction

Contributions of this research:

- Empirical data for traffic generating models of Web traffic.
- Characterization of TCP usage including the effects of HTTP 1.1
- Characterization of Web usage that includes "new influences" such as banner ads, server load balancing and content distribution.















Related Work

- Bruce Mah [10] captured 1.7 million TCP traces from UC Berkeley grad student population in 1995.
 - Barford and Crovella, et al, [2,4,7] collected in aggregate around 1 million references to Web objects from undergrad CS students at BU in 1995 and 1998.
 - Considering the evolution of the Web, this data is old and before the deployment of HTTP 1.1



Data Collected

- 1.6 billion TCP segments generated by a user population of 35,000 users and the transfer of almost 200 million Web objects.
- Analyzed *unidirectional* traces sent from Web servers to client browsers.
- Used TCP sequence and ACK numbers to determine request and response sizes.



Data Sets

- [UNC 99] Fall 1999 (6 one-hour samples, over 7 consecutive days)
 - [UNC 01] Spring 2001 (3 four-hour samples, 7 consecutive days)
 - [UNC 03] Spring 2003 (8 one-hour traces over 7 consecutive days)
 - Network:
 - 1999: OC-3 (155 Mbps) ATM link
 - 2001 and 2003: OC-48 (2.4 Gbps) Cisco DPT technology; However traffic monitor placed on <u>Gigabit Ethernet link (1 Gbps).</u>



Analysis of UNC Data Sets

- TCP Request and Response Data Sizes
- User and Web Content Characterizations
 - Distribution of number of objects per page.
 - Distinction between primary and non-primary servers with respect to number of objects requested and size of response objects.



Figure 1: Request Sizes





Figure 3: Response Sizes





Distributed Computing Systems

Figure 4: Response Size Tail





User and Browser Characteristics

- Without HTTP headers, authors "infer" HTTP behavior from TCP connections.
- Aggregate by unique client IP address and then time-sorted all flows between clients and servers.
- Assume each IP address is one user (fewer NATs on campus).
- Used previous researcher's heuristic approach to estimate the first request is "page".



User and Browser Characteristics

- An "object" is synonymous with a server response. Note – this includes error reports.
- A threshold of 1 second is used to distinguish "idle time" (or "think time").
- Note all Web traffic observed does not include objects from the local browser cache.





Distributed Computing Systems

Figure 9: Number of Objects per Page



Figure 10: Primary vs Secondary Servers









Limitations of Methodology

TCP analysis solid (inferences about the number of packets and flows are reasonable.)

HTTP analysis less certain due to:

- Pipelined exchanges
- User/browser interactions (Stop and Reload)
- Browser and proxy caches
- TCP processing dealing with loss, duplication and re-ordering of packets in the network.



Comparison with Mah, Barford and Crovella, et al. Studies

- Distribution of response sizes has evolved over time.
- Data fits Barford's lognormal-Pareto models of response times.
- Change in distribution of objects per page reflect increased complexity in Web page layout.



Figure 15: SURGE (BU) vs UNC





Distributed Computing Systems

Table 1: Summary Data

Data Set	Sample Size (Number of responses)	Min Response Size	Max Response Size	Mean Response Size	Median Response Size
W95	269,811	3	20,135,435	14,826	2,245
W98	66,988	1	4,092,928	7,247	2,416
Mah 95	5,300	62	8,146,796	10,664	2,035
UNC99	18,526,201	1	135,294,044	6,734	1,164
UNC01	84,343,238	1	984,871,070	6,397	722
UNC03	96,836,703	1	718,067,386	7,296	632

- Notice decreasing trend in median response sizes.
- Caveat larger sizes in some experiments are partially due to larger samples.



Sampling I ssues

- Number and duration of trace intervals bring up important analysis issues.
 - 1 hour of only 68 byte TCP headers consumes 30 Gigabytes of storage at UNC.
 - 90-second trace only requires 200MB for each of inbound and outbound traces.
 - Processing takes *hours*.
 - Capturing can slow down routers.
- Questions
 - Do lengths of traces affect the distribution shape?
 - Do incomplete TCP connections affect the distribution shapes?



Figure 23: Response Sizes for Sub-Samples





Figure 25: Complete and Partial Connections





Conclusions

Captured and analyzed Web traffic for 35,000 UNC people, three data sets from 3 years

- General Results:
 - HTTP request sizes are increasing.
 - HTTP response sizes are decreasing.
 - Largest HTTP responses are increasing.
 - Web pages complexity is increasing (more objects per page).



Future Work

- Effects of persistent connections and pipelining?
- What about other (non-port 80) traffic over HTTP?
 - About ½ of all TCP traffic "other"
- Are all objects Web objects?
 - As opposed to re-direction requests, error messages
 - This may help understand Web structure.

